

Statement Under Oath of Joseph Mackowiak

Date: June 7, 2010

Case:

Printed On: June 10, 2010

Sargent's Court Reporting Services, Inc.

Phone: 814-536-8908 Fax: 814-536-4968

Email: schedule@sargents.com

Internet: www.sargents.com

CONTINUED

STATEMENT UNDER OATH

OF

JOSEPH MACKOWIAK

taken pursuant to Notice by Danielle Ohm, a
Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the National
Mine Health and Safety Academy, 1301 Airport
Road, Room C-137, Beaver, West Virginia, on
Monday, June 7, 2010, beginning at 1:00 p.m.

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

			Page 4
1	I N D E X		
2			
3	OPENING STATEMENT		
4	By Attorney Wilson	6 - 7	
5	WITNESS: JOSEPH MACKOWIAK		
6	EXAMINATION		
7	By Mr. Watkins	8 - 11	
8	EXAMINATION		
9	By Mr. Farley	12 - 14	
10	RE-EXAMINATION		
11	By Mr. Watkins	14	
12	EXAMINATION		
13	By Ms. Monforton	14 - 26	
14	RE-EXAMINATION		
15	By Mr. Farley	26 - 27	
16	RE-EXAMINATION		
17	By Mr. Watkins	27 - 28	
18	EXAMINATION		
19	By Attorney Wilson	29 - 32	
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	32 - 33	
21	CERTIFICATE	34	
22			
23			
24			
25			

			Page 5
1	EXHIBIT PAGE		
2		PA	GE
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION IDENT	IFIED
4		NONE OFFERED	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 ------
- 3 ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 4 Good afternoon. Today is June 7th, and
- 5 we're here to reconvene and continue the interview of
- 6 Joe Mackowiak. Joe, thank you for coming in this
- 7 afternoon. My name is Bob Wilson from the Office of
- 8 the Solicitor, United States Department of Labor.
- 9 With me is Tim Watkins, an accident investigator with
- 10 the Mine Safety and Health Administration. The people
- here are with the State of West Virginia, and I'll ask
- 12 that they state their appearance.
- 13 MS. MONFORTON:
- 14 Celeste Monforton with the Governor's
- 15 special team.
- 16 MR. FARLEY:
- 17 Terry Farley with the Office of Miners'
- 18 Health, Safety and Training.
- 19 MR. O'BRIEN:
- 20 John O'Brien with the Office of Miners'
- 21 Health, Safety and Training.
- 22 ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 23 We had some follow-up questions that we
- 24 wanted to ask you. We'll get to that in a second.
- 25 There are some other individuals here in the room with

- 1 the Accident Investigation Teams. In the event that
- 2 some of them were not here the last time you appeared,
- 3 I'll restate the confidentiality requirements of the
- 4 interview process.
- 5 All members of the Mine Safety and Health
- 6 Administration, Accident Investigation Team and all
- 7 members of the State of West Virginia Accident
- 8 Investigation Team participating in the investigation
- 9 of the Upper Big Branch Mine explosion shall keep
- 10 confidential all information that is gathered from
- 11 each witness who voluntarily provides a statement
- 12 until witness statements are officially released.
- 13 MSHA and the State of West Virginia shall keep this
- information confidential so that other ongoing
- 15 enforcement activities are not jeopardized by a
- 16 premature release of information. This
- 17 confidentiality requirement shall not preclude
- 18 investigation team members from sharing information
- 19 with each other or with other law enforcement
- officials. Everyone's participation in this interview
- 21 constitutes their agreement to keep this information
- 22 confidential.
- 23 Mr. Mackowiak, I'll remind you that you
- are still under oath, and I will turn the questioning
- 25 over to Celeste to begin with.

- 1 A. For the first portion of 2004, I was an
- 2 underground coal mine inspector in the Summersville,
- 3 West Virginia field office of District 4. Sometime
- 4 near the summer or towards the fall I became district
- 5 staff assistant in the Mount Hope district office.
- 6 Q. I think the memo that I have in front of you --- I
- 7 guess you can put it as an exhibit or whatever --- is
- 8 a 2004 memo from --- I guess a tech support memo. Are
- 9 you familiar with that document?
- 10 A. I am.
- 11 Q. Could you tell us when you became familiar with
- 12 that document?
- 13 A. May 21st, 2010 at 11:16 a.m.
- Q. Why do you remember that date and time?
- 15 A. That --- it's specific just because I remember odd
- dates, but also --- and it's been fairly recent, so my
- mind hasn't had a chance to forget it.
- 18 Q. Okay. So how did you become acquainted with that
- 19 document, if you will?
- 20 A. One of my employees, Pete Stone, was doing an
- informational request. I don't know exactly who the
- information request was for. It was through Mike
- Dickerson, the current staff assistant, and it's
- either as a result of a FOIA or as a result of a
- 25 headquarter's request. And as he was going through

- old files in order to prepare for the --- or get them
- 2 in order for ---
- Q. Uh-huh (yes).
- 4 A. --- the request and to see if we had everything
- 5 with which to answer, he saw them and called me into
- the room, and that's when I became aware of their
- 7 existence.
- 8 Q. Okay. Have you had any discussions with people
- 9 upon discovery of this document?
- 10 A. I did. I actually issued a memorandum to Norman
- 11 Page and Robert Hardman and provided them with a copy
- of it immediately. I think I drafted it --- that may
- be like a Thursday. I'm not sure when the 21st was
- with regard to the days of the week. I drafted a
- memorandum, contemplated my wording for a day or two
- and then submitted it to them. It was as quick as I
- was comfortable in releasing it. I didn't discuss it
- with anyone prior to that other than to tell Pete
- 19 Stone that it is part of the informational request and
- it should go forward as such.
- 21 Q. Okay. In your conversation in the office
- regarding this memo, did --- was anybody aware of it?
- Did anybody say, oh, yeah, I remember that?
- A. Last Monday, I assembled the ventilation
- department and inquired about the memo, and no one was

- 1 aware of it.
- 2 Q. Okay. So it happened like I said back in 2004.
- 3 Where did they find it at? Where was it located?
- 4 A. They found it in some archived files within the
- 5 ventilation department. It was not in any of the
- 6 active files.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. And let me also add that those files were archived
- 9 prior to my arrival.
- 10 Q. Okay. What's the standard procedure when you
- 11 receive a letter or something like that from tech
- 12 support? What do you normally do with it?
- 13 A. Normally it's placed in the active file within the
- ventilation department, which would be contained in
- 15 the map room. We have a series of file cabinets, and
- they're separated by mine ID. And it would be
- 17 retained for perpetuity. There'd be no reason to
- 18 supersede something like that.
- 19 Q. Okay. So it stays in there?
- 20 A. As long as it's applicable and the mine's active.
- 21 Q. Okay. In the case of this particular memo, that
- 22 didn't happen?
- 23 A. No, sir, it was found in archived files.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 MR. WATKINS:

- 1 Terry, do you want to ---?
- 2 EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. FARLEY:
- 4 Q. Is it fair to say you've read this memorandum that
- 5 was ---
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. --- was found? Who was the district manager or
- 8 acting district manager at the time this memo was
- 9 originally drafted? Do you know?
- 10 A. Sir, I can only --- again, I can't recall 2004
- that well, so I can only refer to the name on the
- memorandum, which would be John M. Pyles, acting
- district manager.
- 14 Q. Okay. How long was he active district manager?
- 15 A. I'm unsure.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. It was temporary, as I recall.
- 18 Q. Okay. Based on my reading of the memorandum, the
- 19 gist of it seems to be, and correct me if I'm wrong,
- that the riders suggest that the events at UBB in 2003
- 21 and 2004, particularly the event in February of 2004
- occurred because --- or may have occurred because the
- overburden had reached a level of around 1,100 feet
- and the interburden between the Eagle seam and the
- Lower Eagle had gotten down to 11, 12, 13 feet and

- thus creating a situation where possible pressure ---
- downward pressure on the longwall shields may have
- 3 created this floor outburst or break in the floor
- 4 which allowed gas from strata below the lower Eagle
- 5 seam to escape into the mine; is that correct?
- 6 A. Generally, it seems correct. I would only refer
- 7 you to page four of the conclusions on the memo, and I
- 8 didn't --- I neither drafted nor was aware of it 'til
- 9 just recently, so I really don't know if I want to
- impart my own opinion of it.
- 11 Q. Okay. Very well. And you're not sure whether
- 12 this search was conducted for a Freedom of Information
- Request or an informational request from Arlington?
- 14 A. Yeah, and it just said all documents. It wasn't
- 15 specific. The request that I was told was not
- 16 specific to anything. I don't believe that the
- 17 requestor was even aware of something like this.
- 18 Q. Okay. Any and all documents probably?
- 19 A. I was told to get all documents ---
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. --- for Upper Big Branch and get them in order
- 22 and ---.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. They were scanning documents. In the midst of
- 25 that, I was called into the room.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 RE-EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 4 Q. You said it was down in one of the archived files.
- 5 Was that ventilation, roof control, which ---?
- 6 A. Well, I believe it was in ventilation. Now, the
- 7 ones I saw actually didn't include this one that says
- 8 roof control division on May 21st. I saw that one
- 9 maybe a day later. And I'm not sure if that came from
- 10 roof control or ventilation.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I think there's a subsequent one to this that
- doesn't include roof control division above it. I'm
- 14 not really sure.
- 15 O. Okay. But they didn't find it in the roof control
- 16 section? They found it in the ventilation department;
- 17 is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. MONFORTON:
- 22 Q. Thank you, Mr. Mackowiak. I'm not familiar with
- 23 the MSHA file system, so would normally a memorandum
- like this from technical support be put in the mine
- file in addition to the ventilation file, or how would

- that normally work?
- 2 A. I'm unsure about the mine file. I've never
- 3 maintained those myself. As a regular CMI, 2000 to
- 4 2004, I don't recall seeing memorandums in the mines
- 5 that I inspected, but that's not to say that they
- 6 couldn't exist.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. But certainly, this would be retained within the
- 9 ventilation department files in the active files of
- 10 the map room.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you indicated that in the last week or
- so you met with people in the ventilation department
- to inquire if any of them were familiar with it.
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 O. And none of them were ---
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. --- familiar with it. Were these individuals
- 18 employed with MSHA during the time period of when the
- 19 memo was written? I'm trying to get a sense of are
- they not familiar with it because they weren't working
- 21 for the agency at the time or at least in your office?
- 22 A. Several were employed with the agency, but I
- 23 believe in different areas with the exception of
- 24 potentially one employee. I think he was in the
- ventilation department at the time.

- 1 Q. Okay. When you --- I'm trying to get a sense of
- when you took over as the ventilation supervisor ---
- 3 A. Supervisor.
- 4 Q. --- in the district. You may repeat it, so I
- 5 apologize if I ---.
- 6 A. Approximately June of 2008.
- 7 Q. June of 2008.
- 8 A. I don't know the exact date, but that was the
- 9 month.
- 10 Q. And when you took over in June of 2008, who were
- 11 you replacing?
- 12 A. William or Bill Ross.
- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that Bill Ross
- 14 would have been involved in ventilation either as a
- ventilation supervisor or in that department at the
- 16 time that these memos were ---
- 17 A. I think so.
- 18 O. --- written?
- 19 A. Again, I believe he was.
- 20 Q. Okay. And when you took over from him, what were
- 21 the procedures or what mechanisms did you have for him
- to brief you on, you know, key issues that were going
- on in the district with respect to ventilation?
- A. Formal or informal?
- 25 Q. Just ---.

- 1 A. Procedures, I mean ---.
- 2 Q. Just generally, how did you, you know --- how did
- 3 he make you aware of what was going on in the --- I
- 4 mean, was there a system for that or was it just kind
- of the job dumped on you and you ---?
- 6 A. He left prior to me accepting that position. It
- 7 was posted, and there's a time period between his
- 8 absence and my entrance. So there wasn't a formal
- 9 opportunity to get together and discuss things.
- 10 However, you know, as soon as I became a ventilation
- 11 supervisor, first thing I did was get acquainted with
- the employees and subsequently the system that was in
- 13 place.
- 14 I did have the opportunity within the first month
- to speak to Bill Ross as he had come into the office
- for other matters relative to Massey Energy. And I
- 17 pulled him to the side at the conclusion of one of
- those meetings and brought him into this area where
- 19 those files were contained and asked him what was
- 20 pertinent, what is this, what should I know, just to
- 21 --- generally in the learning process, if you would,
- 22 with any new position. And those particular files,
- 23 actually I was told weren't of any importance. There
- was a large conglomeration of them, of course. It's
- 25 not just pertinent to Upper Big Branch Mine. But I

- 1 was told at that time there wasn't anything important
- in them and subsequently they didn't need to be kept.
- 3 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 4 A. I did not follow that guidance. I kept
- 5 everything.
- 6 Q. Okay. I've read through these memos a couple of
- 7 times, and I don't --- I have not seen Mr. Ross' name
- 8 on here. I'm trying to understand the process when
- 9 tech support was called in to do this evaluation, if I
- 10 might call it that, but it was submitted to the
- 11 ventilation department. What would be the process
- when tech support would provide this type of service?
- What would be the expectation for Mr. Ross when he
- 14 obtained this information?
- 15 A. That's two questions. Let me answer the first,
- what would be the process for obtaining such
- information or gaining tech support's involvement. I
- 18 can tell you how I would handle something like that,
- 19 and I'm sure it would be similar, but the exact
- 20 process used for these memorandum, I really can't say.
- 21 But I would go to the assistant district manager and
- 22 make my request, and then he would handle it either
- from his level or within the district manager's
- 24 office. Then tech support would generally deal
- 25 directly with me gaining more intimate information.

- 1 Potentially, there could be a mine visit with it, may
- or may not. And then when this is completed, it's
- 3 generally issued as a draft that comes out for review
- 4 sometime, sometimes not, depending on the incidents.
- 5 And then it's finalized through the people who are
- 6 specifically named in the memorandum. In order to get
- 7 from the district manager to the ventilation
- 8 department, then it would just be routed within the
- 9 office.
- 10 O. And ---.
- 11 A. And you also alluded to an additional memo, which
- I don't have before me, so I'm kind of not ready to
- 13 talk about that yet.
- 14 Q. I have these two memos. One is marked four and
- one is July ---
- 16 A. Fifteen (15), ---
- 17 0. --- 15.
- 18 A. --- 2004, yes. The July 15th is the one that I
- 19 saw on May 21st.
- 20 Q. Okay. And that's the one that has ventilation
- 21 division at the ---
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. --- top of it? Okay. Is it fair then to say that
- 24 when you took over as ventilation supervisor and you
- 25 had this discussion with Mr. Ross, that he did not

- 1 alert you to this particular issue at the Upper Big
- 2 Branch Mine?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Whether related to the Upper Big Branch Mine or to
- 5 other mines in the district, do you recall
- 6 conversations or other issues related to methane
- 7 outburst being discussed, specifically related to this
- 8 particular coal seam?
- 9 A. No, ma'am.
- 10 Q. Would you expect, given these events that occurred
- 11 that the management at Upper Big Branch would be ---
- should be aware of this, that these incidents
- 13 occurred?
- 14 A. Yes and no. Yes, that miners are likely to be
- aware because they've been employed there for a long
- period of time, but the management at that particular
- mine has changed over time. So whether they're aware
- or not, I'm unsure of. Some of the upper level Massey
- 19 Energy management certainly should have been aware.
- 20 Q. And then my final question is, the precautions
- 21 that are listed in those memos, they appear to be
- 22 recommendations of actions that the mine operator
- 23 could take.
- A. Yes, however, I can only say that the amount that
- 25 the mine operator's aware of these considerations as

- described on page three of the 2004 July memo, I don't
- 2 know if they receive a copy of this or really what was
- done with this since it happened prior to my arrival.
- 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. That --- just to clarify for
- 5 the record, so this memorandum, as far as you know,
- 6 was put together, but we don't have information about
- 7 whether it was shared with the mine operator?
- 8 A. I don't have the information, no, ma'am.
- 9 Q. One of the memorandums indicates that there was a
- 10 meeting with mine management about this.
- 11 A. I believe it's contained within one of these
- 12 documents.
- 13 Q. All right.
- 14 A. Yes, it's on page one of July 15th.
- 15 Q. Okay. So at least some individuals from Upper Big
- 16 Branch or Massey management was aware of this
- 17 situation and probably the precautions or
- 18 recommendations made by MSHA?
- 19 A. Yes. However, I should also point out that
- 20 regardless of the recommendations of the agency, the
- 21 mine operator has a standard of care to its employees,
- and that I think is specifically addressed under
- 23 75.370 where it states that they must develop and
- 24 follow their own mine ventilation plan. So certainly
- 25 upon being aware that such an event had occurred or

- 1 could occur, that they have a standard of care with
- 2 which to meet.
- Q. So there's a burden on the operator despite what's
- 4 indicated --- their own independent burden of care ---
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. --- to take care of these types of issues?
- 7 MS. MONFORTON:
- 8 I don't have further questions on the
- 9 memos, but did have a couple of additional questions,
- 10 but I just ---.
- 11 ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 12 Go ahead.
- 13 BY MS. MONFORTON:
- Q. In your previous appearance here today, I believe
- it was suggested that there were four or five
- 16 additional applications or --- I'll call them
- 17 revisions to the ventilation plan that Upper Big
- 18 Branch had submitted to MSHA. Is that --- did you
- 19 testify previously to that?
- 20 A. I believe they had --- are you saying some that
- are submitted for approval, but had not yet been
- 22 proposed?
- 23 O. Correct.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Correct. And that --- would it be correct to say

- that those were in the Mount Hope office at the time
- of incident on April 5th and had not been reviewed or
- 3 approved? They're ---
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. --- considered pending ---
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. --- violation --- pending modifications to their
- 8 plan? And in my review over the last year or so of
- 9 the ventilation plan revisions that were submitted, I
- 10 counted 30 or so revisions to the plan that were made
- and then --- and I think those are the approval ---
- those were revisions that were approved, not
- considering revisions that were rejected.
- 14 A. There's essentially two separate types of
- 15 revisions that are contained within that 30-plus
- 16 number. Some of those are map revisions, which are
- 17 pursuant to 75.372. There's also some face plan
- revisions, which would be approved pursuant to 75.371
- 19 and 370. The actual face plan itself I believe was
- 20 modified two or three times, and that's kind of the
- 21 paperwork portion of it.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. The 8 and a half by 11, those are typicals that
- 24 the miner operator would do --- standard operating
- 25 procedure. The map revisions are actual air change

- 1 --- air changes that need approval from the district
- 2 manager, so there's really --- although we kind of
- 3 talk about them collectively, there's actually two
- 4 separate items contained within that number.
- 5 Q. Thank you for that clarification. So of these
- five pending modifications, did you have a sense how
- 7 these five kind of fit in with the master plan there?
- 8 A. I do not. Again, if they're pending, I haven't
- 9 done a review of them per se. After the accident, I
- 10 thumbed through them very quickly to see if there was
- anything that would pop out. Nothing resonated with
- me at that time. Certainly, if they have enacted a
- revision prior to approval, that would be of
- importance, but I would have no way to know that, so I
- 15 certainly don't want to make an allegation such as
- 16 that.
- 17 Q. So would it be fair to say at this point or even
- to the point up to the date of the April 5th
- 19 explosion, your office hadn't acted on any of those
- 20 plans?
- 21 A. Other than to receive them, log them into our
- tracking system and place them in a bin, which is
- 23 basically a holding area until we can get to them.
- Now, let me also state that I don't want to give the
- 25 perception that we hold on to things that aren't of

- 1 importance. We have volumes of submittals, and
- subsequently, there's a process with which we get to
- 3 each one.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. So just as with most government agencies I'm sure
- 6 that have a lot of submittals, there's a process for
- 7 which you get to each one so that there's fair and
- 8 equitable treatment to all mine operators.
- 9 Q. And this is just a clarification from your
- 10 previous appearance here. The notes indicates that
- 11 you, on April 5th, went to the Ellis Portal and that
- 12 you had some notes that you took, and I'm just asking
- whether that's part of the record.
- 14 A. I don't believe they're part of the record. I
- believe they're still within my possession, but I can
- tell that they're very, very limited as I was engaged
- in the activity of rescue and recovery as opposed to
- 18 the documentation of it. So my notes are likely so
- 19 thin that it may be laughable, but it was just enough
- to allow me to remember something in order to pass it
- on to the next important person. So it's not done as
- a narrative per se.
- 23 O. Understood.
- A. It's very small bullets, very few at that.
- 25 Q. Just another clarification. Did you indicate the

- 1 last time you appeared here that you noticed Wayne
- 2 Persinger at the mine and that he was --- that he was
- 3 dirty, that ---?
- 4 A. Yes, and that was the night of the 5th at the
- 5 Ellis Portal.
- 6 Q. And what did you attribute that to?
- 7 A. I didn't ask, ma'am. I'm sure he had something to
- 8 do mining related, whether at that site or another.
- 9 I'm not aware of ---.
- 10 Q. Okay. I just want to clarify. Did you indicate
- 11 that you thought that he had come out of the mine?
- 12 A. He may have. Now, whether he told me that ---
- 13 Q. Right.
- A. --- or not, again, I'm not for sure.
- 15 Q. Okay. That's fair enough.
- 16 MS. MONFORTON:
- 17 That's all I have.
- 18 RE-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. FARLEY:
- Q. Joe, a couple. Help me understand the
- 21 relationship between an MSHA district and the
- 22 technical support. Would the technical support group
- 23 undertake such a project as this only at the request
- of the district manager?
- 25 A. Or assistant district manager, generally, yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. They wouldn't necessarily --- technical
- 2 support wouldn't necessarily get involved in the
- district's business without first being asked; is
- 4 that ---?
- 5 A. Generally, yes. Now, again, I've only done this
- for almost two years, so I wouldn't say that I'm
- 7 the ---
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. --- know all here.
- 10 Q. All right. This memorandum aside, is there a
- 11 normal procedure to be followed when the technical
- 12 support group sends a memorandum of such --- of this
- type, let's say, to the district? Is it a situation
- where the district may accept their recommendation,
- not accept it, or is there a particular protocol?
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 17 Q. This calls for an opinion. Do you think this
- memorandum before you should have prompted some type
- of revision to the Upper Big Branch ventilation plan
- 20 to address floor outbursts?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. FARLEY:
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 RE-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. WATKINS:

- 1 Q. Just to clear something up, Joe. I think Terry
- 2 asked you earlier who the DM was on the one that we've
- got marked. Is that the same as on the other --- the
- 4 one in July?
- 5 A. No, sir, the July 15th, 2004 memorandum was for
- 6 Steven J. Gilotti. It also says acting district
- 7 manager, so that would lead me to believe that it was
- 8 a temporary position for him as well.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 MR. WATKINS:
- 11 That's all I have.
- 12 ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 13 Mr. Mackowiak, again, on behalf of all
- the parties, I want to thank you for coming back in.
- 15 I'll remind you that we require that you not discuss
- 16 your testimony with anyone since we're going to be
- interviewing additional witnesses. And again, if you
- 18 think of any additional information that you would
- 19 like to provide to us, please contact Norman Page here
- 20 at the Academy. Unless there's anything else from
- 21 anybody, again, thank you. And we can go off the
- 22 record.
- 23 SHORT BREAK TAKEN
- 24 ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 25 We're back on the record with Joe

- 1 Mackowiak. It is still June 7. After we went off the
- 2 record, Mr. Mackowiak indicated that there were a
- 3 couple of items that he wanted to clarify. Let the
- 4 record reflect that everyone who was present earlier
- is present at this time, and I'll remind you, Mr.
- 6 Mackowiak, that you are still under oath.
- 7 EXAMINATION
- 8 BY ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 9 Q. Joe, when you were being questioned, I think
- 10 Celeste had asked you if you had had any conversations
- with anybody concerning floor outbursts of methane,
- 12 and you had indicated no. And I think you were
- probably thinking before the accident; is that right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 O. All right. Have you had any such conversations
- 16 with anyone after the accident?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. Could you explain what that was?
- 19 A. During the recovery of Upper Big Branch, which
- 20 took eight or nine days --- of course, many of us
- 21 worked a lot of hours in order to accomplish that
- 22 task. I found myself at sometime when we'd taken a
- 23 break from the command center in the restroom
- 24 privately with Bill Ross, who was also employed with
- 25 Massey Energy, and I asked him specifically just one

- 1 professional to another if he had any idea as to what
- 2 may have caused the accident. He indicated he did,
- and that he thought that the bottom cracked and they
- 4 had an on-rush of methane. I asked him why in the
- 5 world that he would think such a thing because that
- 6 was the first I had ever heard of anything similar to
- 7 that relative to this mine or any within the district.
- 8 And he stated very curtly that it had happened before,
- 9 and he was obviously --- we had both been awake for
- 10 many, many hours, and it looked difficult for him. I
- 11 didn't probe or ask any additional questions. It was
- shocking to me at that time, and I don't know if I was
- just processing it or it was sleep deprivation, but it
- certainly was said, and it came out at that time.
- 15 Q. All right. And with respect to the memos that you
- had been discussing, let me show you a copy of an
- e-mail with some writing on it, and this has been
- 18 marked and introduced during Bob Hardman's interview
- 19 as Hardman Exhibit Four. Are you familiar with this
- 20 document?
- 21 A. Yes. I located that document --- or was shown
- that document by Pete Stone on May 21st.
- 23 Q. And is that when he brought to your attention the
- two memos that we've been discussing?
- 25 A. Correct. This was attached to the cover of one of

- 1 those memos.
- Q. And there's some handwriting. It's an e-mail,
- 3 shows from a George A-U-I to William Ross and then
- 4 there's a note, Bill, attached is a copy of the report
- 5 we completed concerning the meeting at Upper Big
- 6 Branch on floor gas outburst. On the bottom part of
- 7 the page, there's a star. It says received 5/21 and
- 8 then it's got your name there. Did you write this on
- 9 the document?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, I wrote that just so that document would
- 11 have the point in time where I became aware of it
- 12 because I thought it may be pertinent to the
- 13 investigation.
- Q. And during this --- when we started a little bit
- earlier, you were asked when you became aware of it,
- and you said 5/21 at 11:16 a.m. Is that how you knew
- that time so precisely because you had written it down
- 18 at the time?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. The other writing that is on this document,
- 21 Doris, please put into company file with Performance
- Coal Company. There's a date there, 6/18/04. Was
- 23 that writing on the document when you first saw it on
- 24 May 21st?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And there are initials there. Do you know whose
- 2 initials those are?
- 3 A. I don't know the first initials. The second are
- 4 B.R., which would be consistent with Bill Ross, the
- 5 ventilation supervisor at the time, and also that's
- 6 who the memorandum in the upper left-hand corner was
- 7 sent to.
- Q. And Doris Chambers, do you know who that is?
- 9 A. Yes, that was the ventilation secretary at the
- 10 time. It would indicate that Doris --- the message to
- 11 Doris from B.R. would be that Doris Chambers. She's
- the only Doris I'm aware of that's been in the
- district for any perceivable memory.
- Q. And Doris Chambers, was she Bill Ross' secretary?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. All right. Was there anything else that you
- wanted to clarify on the record?
- 18 A. No, I think that's it.
- 19 ATTORNEY WILSON:
- 20 All right. Any follow-up questions,
- 21 Terry?
- 22 MR. FARLEY:
- 23 I don't think so.
- 24 MR. WATKINS:
- 25 No.

25